



Intraoperative Neuromonitoring (IONM)

Policy MP-058

Origination Date: 07/22/2020

Reviewed/Revised Date: 07/31/2023

Next Review Date: 07/31/2024

Current Effective Date: 09/31/2023

Disclaimer:

- 1. Policies are subject to change in accordance with State and Federal notice requirements.
- 2. Policies outline coverage determinations for U of U Health Plans Commercial, CHIP and Healthy U (Medicaid) plans. Refer to the "Policy" section for more information.
- 3. Services requiring prior-authorization may not be covered, if prior-authorization is not obtained.
- 4. This Medical Policy does not guarantee coverage or payment of the service. The service must be a benefit in the member's plan and the member must be eligible for coverage at the time of service. Additional payment guidelines may be applied that are not included in this policy.

Description:

Intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) employs the use of electrodiagnostic modalities to record electrical signals produced by the nervous system in response to a stimuli; the intraoperative neuromonitoring reflects the time spent during ongoing, concurrent, real time electrodiagnostic testing performed throughout the surgery. The goal of intraoperative monitoring is to detect response changes due to surgery, to diminish the risk of neurologic injury, improve patient safety and subsequent surgical outcomes. Intraoperative neuromonitoring modalities may include, but are not limited to the following:

- Neurophysiological techniques, alone or in combination such as sensory evoked potentials (i.e., somatosensory [SSEP]
- Auditory brainstem evoked responses [ABR]
- Visual evoked potentials [VEP])
- Motor evoked potentials (MEP)
- Electromyography (EMG)
- Free-running or stimulus-triggered, and electroencephalogram (EEG)

Multiple modalities are typically used for IONM to overcome the limitations of individual monitoring. Selection of the approach used is dependent upon the type of surgery and the degree of risk.

Intraoperative neuromonitoring allows for immediate intervention thus preventing or minimizing postoperative neurological deficits although there is no clear consensus as to which patients should undergo IONM, other than for individuals at greater risk of nerve injury. According to the American Academy of Neurology (2012), there is no need for IONM in situations where historical data and current practices reveal no potential for neural damage.

Policy Statement and Criteria

1. Commercial Plans/CHIP

U of U Health Plans covers intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) in *limited clinical circumstances* as current evidence supports improved outcomes in these settings *when specific criteria are met*.

Coverage Requirements:

- A. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring must be performed by either a licensed physician trained in clinical neurophysiology or a trained technologist who is practicing within the scope of his/her license/certification as defined by state law or appropriate authorities and is working under direct supervision of a physician trained in neurophysiology; AND
- B. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring must be interpreted by a licensed physician trained in clinical neurophysiology, other than the operating surgeon, who is either in attendance in the operating suite or present by means of a real-time remote mechanism for neurophysiologic monitoring situations and is immediately available; AND
- C. Monitoring is conducted and interpreted real-time (either on-site or at a remote location) and continuously communicated to the surgical team; AND
- D. The physician performing or supervising monitoring must be monitoring no more than three cases simultaneously.

Surgical Circumstances in which IONM is covered:

Somatosensory-evoked potentials with or without motor-evoked potentials

Intraoperative neuromonitoring using somatosensory-evoked potentials (SSEP), with or without motor-evoked potentials (using electrical stimulation), may be medically necessary during the following procedures:

- A. Spinal procedures
 - i. Dorsal rhizotomy
 - ii. Correction of scoliosis and kyphosis
 - iii. Anterior cervical spine surgery associated with increased risk from preexisting recurrent laryngeal nerve pathology, when there is residual function of the recurrent laryngeal nerve.

- iv. Correction of deformity involving traction on the spinal cord
- v. Spinal cord tumor removal
- vi. Surgery due to traumatic injury to spinal cord
- vii. Surgery for arteriovenous (AV) malformation of spinal cord
- B. Intracranial procedures
 - i. Microvascular decompression of cranial nerves
 - ii. Removal of acoustic neuroma, congenital auricular lesions, or cranial base lesions
 - iii. Cholesteatoma, including mastoidotomy or mastoidectomy
 - iv. Vestibular neurectomy for Meniere's
 - v. Removal of cranial nerve neuromas affecting any of the following nerves:
 - a. Abducens
 - b. Facial
 - c. Glossopharyngeal
 - d. Hypoglossal
 - e. Oculomotor
 - f. Recurrent laryngeal
 - g. Spinal accessory
 - h. Superior laryngeal
 - i. Trochlear
 - vi. Deep brain stimulation
 - vii. Endolymphatic shunting for Meniere's disease
 - viii. Oval or round window graft
 - ix. Removal of cavernous sinus tumors
 - x. Resection of brain tissue near primary motor cortex and requiring brain mapping
 - xi. Resection of epileptogenic brain tissue or tumor
 - xii. Other intracranial procedures (e.g., aneurysm repair, intracranial AVM)
- C. Non-cranial vascular procedures
 - i. Carotid artery surgery
 - ii. Arteriography with test occlusion of carotid artery

- iii. Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest
- iv. Distal aortic procedures
- v. Surgery of the aortic arch, its branch vessels, or thoracic aorta
- D. High-risk thyroid or parathyroid surgery, including:
 - i. Total thyroidectomy
 - ii. Repeat thyroid or parathyroid surgery
 - iii. Surgery for cancer
 - iv. Thyrotoxicosis
 - v. Retrosternal or giant goiter
 - vi. Thyroiditis

Electroencephalographic monitoring

Intraoperative electroencephalographic (EEG) monitoring may be considered medically necessary for any of the following procedures:

- A. Intracranial procedures
 - i. Microvascular decompression of cranial nerves;
 - ii. Removal of acoustic neuroma, congenital auricular lesions, or cranial base lesions;
 - iii. Cholesteatoma, including mastoidotomy or mastoidectomy;
 - iv. Vestibular neurectomy for Meniere's;
 - v. Removal of cranial nerve neuromas affecting any of the following nerves:
 - a. Abducens;
 - b. Facial;
 - c. Glossopharyngeal;
 - d. Hypoglossal;
 - e. Oculomotor;
 - f. Recurrent laryngeal;
 - g. Spinal accessory;
 - h. Superior laryngeal;
 - i. Trochlear.
 - vi. Deep brain stimulation;
 - vii. Endolymphatic shunting for Meniere's disease;
 - viii. Oval or round window graft;

- ix. Removal of cavernous sinus tumors;
- x. Resection of brain tissue near primary motor cortex and requiring brain mapping;
- xi. Resection of epileptogenic brain tissue or tumor;
- xii. Other intracranial procedures (e.g., aneurysm repair, intracranial AVM).
- B. Non-cranial vascular procedures
 - i. Carotid artery surgery
 - ii. Arteriography with test occlusion of carotid artery

Electromyographic monitoring

Intraoperative electromyographic (EMG) monitoring may be considered medically necessary when monitoring is during any of the following procedures:

- A. Dorsal rhizotomy;
- B. Microvascular decompression of cranial nerves;
- C. Removal of acoustic neuroma, congenital auricular lesions, or cranial base lesions;
- D. Cholesteatoma, including mastoidotomy or mastoidectomy;
- E. Vestibular neurectomy for Meniere's;
- F. Removal of cranial nerve neuromas affecting any of the following nerves:
 - i. Abducens;
 - ii. Facial;
 - iii. Glossopharyngeal;
 - iv. Hypoglossal;
 - v. Oculomotor;
 - vi. Recurrent laryngeal;
 - vii. Spinal accessory;
 - viii. Superior laryngeal;
 - ix. Trochlear.

U of U Health Plans considers IONM experimental/investigational for all other indications not meeting the above criteria. Examples of procedures for which there is insufficient evidence to establish net benefit of IONM include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A. Routine lumbar, cervical or thoracic laminectomies and fusions;
- B. Spinal cord stimulator implantation;

- C. Cochlear implantation;
- D. Vagal nerve stimulator implantation;
- E. Spinal injections;
- F. Hip replacement;
- G. Parotid gland surgery.

U of U Health Plans considers intraoperative monitoring of motor evoked potentials using transcranial magnetic stimulation as experimental and investigational for all indications.

U of U Health Plans considers nerve conduction studies for intraoperative monitoring purposes experimental and investigational for all indications.

U of U Health Plans considers intraoperative monitoring of visual-evoked potentials investigational.

U of U Health Plans does NOT cover intraoperative electromyography and nerve conduction velocity monitoring during surgery on the peripheral nerves as it is considered not medically necessary.

2. Medicaid Plans

Coverage is determined by the State of Utah Medicaid program; if Utah State Medicaid has no published coverage position and InterQual criteria are not available, the U of U Health Plans Commercial criteria will apply. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, please visit their website at: <u>https://medicaid.utah.gov/utah-medicaid-official-publications/</u> or the <u>Utah Medicaid code Look-Up tool</u>

CPT/HCPCS codes covered by Utah State Medicaid may still require further evaluation to determine medical necessity for coverage.

Clinical Rationale

There is moderate strength of evidence that IONM may identify patients at greater risk of adverse outcomes due to neurological injury among individuals undergoing certain spinal procedures. For surgeries that risk damaging the spinal cord (e.g., scoliosis correction, spinal cord tumor removal), the effectiveness of IONM has been assumed. As such, the evidence base for comparative studies is minimal. However, multiple retrospective and prospective cohort studies indicate that IONM may accurately identify those with postoperative neurological deficits. Less clear is whether knowledge of injury, intraoperatively, can lead to intervention which prevents or reverses said neurological deficits.

A systematic review (Fehlings et. al, 2010) concluded that IONM is sensitive and specific for detecting neurological complications during spinal surgery. That review included 14 prospective cohort studies addressing a variety of spinal indications. Across all included studies, IONM was not associated with any serious harms. Authors concluded that IONM can be a valuable tool during spinal surgery when the spinal cord or nerve roots are at risk.

IONM has also been proposed as potentially valuable during thyroid surgery as a means to prevent injury to the recurrent laryngeal nerve. A systematic review (Malik 2016) evaluated 17 studies comparing thyroid surgery with and without IONM. Using pooled data from those studies, authors found no statistically significant difference in recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy (RLNP) between those who had undergone thyroid surgery with or without IONM. Another systematic review (Yang 2017) reported a slightly lower incidence of RLNP among those who had thyroid surgery with IONM, but this difference was not statistically significant.

The American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) released a position statement on IONM in April 2014. The AANS/CNS concluded that there is insufficient evidence to show that the use of IONM mitigates the severity of neurological injury or reduces its incidence. However, the position statement did note that use of IONM may help to diagnose neurological injury during surgery. Later that year, an analysis of all spine surgeries performed from 2007-2011 that were included in the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database was published by James WS, et al. This study included 443,194 spine procedures in which 31,680 cases utilized IONM. latrogenic neurological injury was rare, occurring in less than 1% with no difference in cases where IONM was used.

In 2015, Hawksworth et al, from the University of Texas Health Sciences Center, published an analysis of their department's spine surgeries completed from 2011-2013, before and after adopting a departmental policy limiting IONM use to intradural procedures and those for spinal deformity correction. While utilization of IONM dropped from 38% of spinal cases to 7%, there was no change in incidence of neurological injury. In fact, the only observed cases of injury occurred in cases utilizing IONM where the monitoring did not alert the surgeon to the injury.

In 2017, Hadley, et al published, "Guidelines for the Use of Electrophysiological Monitoring for Surgery of the Human Spinal Column and Spinal Cord" which was approved by both the American Association for Neurological Surgeons and he Congress f Neurological Surgeons. This Guideline was based on review of relevant published literature from 1966-2017. Similar to the aforementioned 2014 position statement, this new Guideline found that IONM "has not been shown to be successful in reducing the rate or perioperative neurological deterioration or to improve neurological outcome during spinal surgery procedures." The authors later conclude that because use of IONM during spina surgery has not been correlated with improvements in neurological outcome that its expense does not appear justified.

A 2017 retrospective cohort study (Ibrahim et. al.) evaluated the use of neuromonitoring during spinal surgery to assess the function of the spinal cord in an effort to prevent intraoperative injury. The study identified 121 patients who underwent spinal cord procedures with the use of intraoperative neuromonitoring, to determine its ability to detect neurological changes and the specificity and sensitivity in this setting. The patients were classified into one of four groups according to the findings of intraoperative monitoring and the clinical outcomes on postoperative neurological exam. Intraoperative monitoring was evaluated for its specificity, sensitivity, and predictive value. The study determined that out of the 121 patients, the use of intraoperative neuromonitoring had a low sensitivity, which may produce an excessive number of false negatives. The authors concluded that although its use is widespread, no clear benefit has been demonstrated in using neuromonitoring during spinal surgery.

Furthermore, based on these findings, neuromonitoring seems to have a poor positive predictive value and is thus an inappropriate test to prevent harm to patients.

In a 2017 retrospective review, Ajiboye et al evaluated the trends in the use of intraoperative neuromonitoring (ION) for anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) surgery in the United States and assessed the incidence of neurological injuries after ACDFs with and without ION. Somatosensoryevoked potentials (SSEPs) and motor-evoked potentials (MEPs) are the commonly used ION modalities for ACDFs. Controversy exists on the routine use of ION for ACDFs and there is limited literature on national practice patterns of its use. The type of ION modality used and the rates of neurological injury after surgery were assessed. The study consisted of 15,395 patients whom underwent an ACDF. Overall, ION was used in 2627 (17.1%) of these cases. There was a decrease in the use of ION for ACDFs from 22.8% in 2007 to 4.3% use in 2014 (P < 0.0001). The ION modalities used for these ACDFs were quite variable: SSEPs only (48.7%), MMEPs only (5.3%), and combined SSEPs and MMEPs (46.1%). Neurological injuries occurred in 0.23% and 0.27% of patients with and without ION, respectively (P = 0.84). Younger age was associated with a higher utility of ION (<45: 20.3%, 45-54: 19.3%, 55-64: 16.6%, 65-74: 14.3%, and >75: 13.6%, P < 0.0001). Significant regional variability was observed in the utility of ION for ACDFs across the country (West; 21.9%, Midwest; 12.9% (P < 0.0001). In conclusion, the authors found that ION did not further prevent the rate of postoperative neurological complications for ACDFs as compared to cases without use of ION. Thus, the utility of routine ION for ACDFs is questionable.

In a systematic review (Resnick et al.) on IONM for cervical degenerative myelopathy and radiculopathy, the authors concluded that altering of the surgical plan or intraoperative steroid administration based upon IONM monitoring was not shown to decrease the incidence of neurological injury. However, the review concluded that IONM may be sensitive for assessing neurological injury for diagnostic information.

Hayes, Inc. completed a systematic review of intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) for lumbar spinal fusion and decompression. The review encompassed 5 studies that evaluated IONM for detection of new neurological deficits and 11 studies that evaluated IONM for intraoperative guidance designed to prevent new neurological deficits. The review observed the reviewed studies of IONM for lumbar spinal discectomy or discectomy and fusion provide inconclusive evidence as to whether IONM accurately detects new neurological deficits and whether IONM can help prevent nerve damage during surgery. It was noted that all of the reviewed studies have limitations that may have caused underestimation of the diagnostic accuracy or intraoperative efficacy of IONM. Across clinical validity studies, sensitivity rates were very low or low (0% to 62%), reflecting a high false-negative rate. The clinical utility studies reported limited evidence of benefit of IONM. A small number of studies reported that use of IONM significantly decreased transient complications and reduced hospital stay relative to no IONM. No studies reported between-group differences in rate of new neurological deficits for IONM versus standard care (i.e., without IONM). It is unclear whether the lack of consistent benefit is due to poor efficacy of IONM or limitations of the evidence base. IONM poses minimal safety concerns. Additional RCTs of IONM are needed to evaluate its capacity to prevent nerve damage during lumbar partial discectomy or fusion. In conclusion, the review found a very low guality of insufficient evidence in regards to the safety and efficacy of IONM for detection and prevention of new neurological deficits in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy or fusion. Further studies are needed for clinical validity and utility, however, the evaluation process will be complicated by differences in disease severity, diversity of surgical approaches that can be used, and availability of EMG, MEP, and SSEP IONM techniques that can be used alone or in combinations.

The American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM) released a position statement in 2014 supporting the use of intraoperative SSEP for certain spinal surgeries, particularly those with increased risk for nerve root or spinal cord injury (including complex, extensive, or lengthy procedures). Authors also stated that intraoperative SSEP was not indicated for routine lumbar or cervical root decompression.

In 2012, the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) and the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS) identified 11 studies as part of their evidence-based guidelines process, from which they concluded the IONM is safe and effective for identifying increased risk of adverse outcomes, including paraparesis, paraplegia, and quadriplegia during spinal surgery (Nuwer 2012).

Applicable Coding

CPT Codes

General Neuromonitoring

- **95940** Continuous intraoperative neurophysiology monitoring in the operating room, one on one monitoring requiring personal attendance, each 15 minutes (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- **95941** Continuous intraoperative neurophysiology monitoring, from outside the operating room (remote or nearby) or for monitoring of more than one case while in the operating room, per hour (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- **G0453** Continuous intraoperative neurophysiology monitoring, from outside the operating room (remote or nearby), per patient, (attention directed exclusively to one patient) each 15 minutes (list in addition to primary procedure)

Somatosensory-Evoked Potentials (SSEP)

- 95925 Short-latency somatosensory evoked potential study, stimulation of any/all peripheral nerves or skin sites, recording from the central nervous system; in upper limbs
- 95926 ; in lower limbs
- 95927 ; in the trunk or head
- 95938 ; in upper and lower limbs

Motor evoked potentials (MEP)

- 95928 Central motor evoked potential study (transcranial motor stimulation); upper limbs
- 95929 ; lower limbs
- 95939 ; in upper and lower limbs

Brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEP)

- **92585** Auditory evoked potentials for evoked response audiometry and/or testing of the central nervous system; comprehensive
- **92586** Auditory evoked potentials for evoked response audiometry and/or testing of the central nervous system; limited

Electroencephalography

- 95822 Electroencephalogram (EEG); recording in coma or sleep only
- **95955** Electroencephalogram (EEG) during non-intracranial surgery (e.g., carotid surgery)

Electromyography

| 95860 | Needle electromyography; 1 extremity with or without related paraspinal areas |
|-------|---|
| 95861 | Needle electromyography; 2 extremities with or without related paraspinal areas |
| 95867 | Needle electromyography; cranial nerve supplied muscle(s), unilateral |
| 95868 | Needle electromyography; cranial nerve supplied muscles, bilateral |
| 95870 | Needle electromyography; limited study of muscles in 1 extremity or non-limb (axial) muscles (unilateral or bilateral), other than thoracic paraspinal, cranial nerve supplied muscles, or sphincters |
| | |

Not covered when used in combination with intraoperative monitoring:

- 95907-95913 Nerve conduction studies
- **95930** Visual evoked potential (VEP) checkerboard or flash testing, central nervous system except glaucoma, with interpretation and report
- 95937 Neuromuscular junction testing (repetitive stimulation, paired stimuli), each nerve, any 1 method

<u>NOTE:</u> CPTs 95925 and 95926 should not be billed during the same procedure if both upper and lower limbs are monitored; instead, CPT 95938 should be used. CPT 95938 should not be coded in conjunction with either 95925 or 95926. Similarly, 95928 and 95929 should not be billed together; instead 95939 should be used if both upper and lower limbs are monitored.

HCPCS Codes

No applicable HCPCS codes

References:

1. Ajiboye RM, D'Oro A, Ashana AO, Buerba RA, Lord EL, Buser Z, Wang JC, Pourtaheri S. Routine Use of Intraoperative Neuromonitoring During ACDFs for the Treatment of Spondylotic Myelopathy and Radiculopathy Is Questionable: A Review of 15,395 Cases. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Jan 1;42(1):14-19.

- Ajiboye RM, Zoller SD, D'Oro A, Burke ZD, Sheppard W, Wang C, Buser Z, Wang JC, Pourtaheri S. Utility of Intraoperative Neuromonitoring for Lumbar Pedicle Screw Placement Is Questionable: A Review of 9957 Cases. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Jul 1;42(13):1006-1010.
- 3. Ajiboye RM, Zoller SD, Sharma A, Mosich GM, Drysch A, Li J, Reza T, Pourtaheri S. Intraoperative Neuromonitoring for Anterior Cervical Spine Surgery: What Is the Evidence? Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Mar 15;42(6):385-393.
- 4. American Academy of Neurology. Assessment: intraoperative neurophysiology. Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 1990 Nov;40(11):1644-6.
- American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS)/Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS). Position statement regarding electrophysiological monitoring during routine spinal surgery. 2012, 2014, January 2018. Accessed July 12, 2020. Available at URL address: <u>http://spinesection.org/</u>
- American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM). Recommended policy for electrodiagnostic medicine. 2004, Updated 7/17/2017. Accessed July 12, 2020. Available at URL Address: http://www.aanem.org/Advocacy/Position-Statements
- American Clinical Neurophysiology Society (ACNS). Guidelines for intraoperative monitoring of sensory evoked potentials. August 5, 2004, updated 2009. Accessed August 15, 2019. Available at URL address: https://www.acns.org/practice/guidelines
- American Society of Electroneurodiagnostic Technologists (ASET). Simultaneous intraoperative monitoring. Performance Standards and Best Practices. Accessed July 12, 2020. Available at URL address: <u>https://www.aset.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3613</u>
- American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring. Intraoperative monitoring of segmental spinal nerve root function with free-run and electrically triggered electromyography and spinal cord function with reflexes and f-responses. A position statement by the American Society of Neurophysiological Monitoring. Accessed Ju;y 12, 2020. Available at URL address: https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.asnm.org/resource/resmgr/docs/EMG published.pdf
- 10. Ando M, Tamaki T, Matsumoto T, et al. Can postoperative deltoid weakness after cervical laminoplasty be prevented by using intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring? J Clin Monit Comput. 2018 Apr 17.
- 11. Barczynski M, Konturek A, Cichon S. Randomized clinical trial of visualization versus neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerves during thyroidectomy. Br J Surg. Mar 2009;96(3):240-246.
- 12. Bose B, Sestokas AK, Schwartz DM. Neurophysiological detection of iatrogenic C-5 nerve deficit during anterior cervical spinal surgery. J Neurosurg Spine. 2007 May;6(5):381-5.
- 13. Burke DJ, Hicks RG. Intraoperative monitoring with motor and sensory evoked potentials. In: Chiappa K, editor. Evoked potentials in clinical medicine. Third edition. ©1997. Lippincott-Raven Publishers. Philadelphia New York. Ch 22.
- 14. Chiappa K. Electrophysiologic monitoring during carotid endarterecteomies. In: Chiappa K, editor. Evoked potentials in clinical medicine. Third edition. ©1997. Lippincott-Raven Publishers. Philadelphia New York. Ch. 19.
- 15. Cirocchi R, Arezzo A, D'Andrea V, Abraha I, Popivanov GI, Avenia N, Gerardi C, Henry BM, Randolph J, Barczyñski M. Intraoperative neuromonitoring versus visual nerve identification for prevention of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in adults undergoing thyroid surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019 Jan 19;1:CD012483.
- 16. Cole T, Veeravagu A, Zhang M, Li A, Ratliff JK. Intraoperative neuromonitoring in single-level spinal procedures: a retrospective propensity score-matched analysis in a national longitudinal database. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2014 Nov 1;39(23):1950-9.
- 17. Crum BA, Strommen JA. Peripheral nerve stimulation and monitoring during operative procedures. Muscle Nerve. 2007 Feb;35(2):159-70.
- 18. Daniel JW, Botelho RV, Milano JB, et al., Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring in Spine Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2018 Aug;43(16):1154-1160.
- 19. Daniels AH, Hart RA, Hilibrand AS, et al., latrogenic Spinal Cord Injury Resulting From Cervical Spine Surgery. Global Spine J. 2017 Apr;7(1 Suppl):84S-90S.
- 20. Devlin VJ, Schwartz DM. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring during spinal surgery. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2007 Sep;15(9):549-60.
- 21. Di Martino A, Papalia R, Caldaria A, Torre G, Denaro L, Denaro V. Should evoked potential monitoring be used in degenerative cervical spine surgery? A systematic review. J Orthop Traumatol. 2019 Apr 2;20(1):19.
- 22. Edwards BM, Kileny PR. Intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring: indications and techniques for common procedures in otolaryngology-head and neck surgery. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2005 Aug;38(4):631-42, viii.
- 23. Erickson L, Costa V, McGregor M. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring during spinal surgery. Montreal: Technology Assessment Unit of the McGill University Health Centre (MUHC), 2005:39.
- 24. Erwood MS, Hadley MN, Gordon AS, Carroll WR2Agee BS, Walters BC. Recurrent laryngeal nerve injury following reoperative anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: a meta-analysis. J Neurosurg Spine. 2016 Aug;25(2):198-204.
- 25. Fehlings MG, Brodke DS, Norvell DC, Dettori JR. The evidence for intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery: Does it make a difference? Spine. 2010;35(9 Suppl):S37-S46.

- 26. Gunnarson T, Krassioukov AV, Sarjeant R, Fehlings MG. Real-time continuous intraoperative electromyographic and somatosensory evoked potential recordings in spinal surgery: correlation of clinical and electrophysiologic findings in a prospective, consecutive series of 213 cases. Spine. 2004 Mar 15;29(6):677-84.
- 27. Hadley MN, Shank CD, Rozzelle CJ, Walters BC. Guidelines for the Use of Electrophysiological Monitoring for Surgery of the Human Spinal Column and Spinal Cord. Neurosurgery. 2017 Nov 1;81(5):713-732.
- 28. Hawksworth SA, Andrade NS, Son CT, Bartanusz V, Jimenez DF. Conservative Policy for Intraoperative Neuromonitoring in Spinal Surgery. Neurosurgery. 2015 Aug;62 Suppl 1:190-3. doi: 10.1227/NEU.000000000000799.
- 29. Hayes, Inc. Medical Technology Directory Report. Multimodal intraoperative monitoring (MIOM) during cervical spinal surgery. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; Updated April 15, 2020. Accessed July 12, 2020. Archived: April 30, 2021
- 30. Hayes, Inc. Medical Technology Directory Report. Multimodal intraoperative monitoring (MIOM) during surgery for scoliosis and spinal deformities. Lansdale, PA: Hayes, Inc.; Updated April 16, 2020. Access July 12, 2020. Archived: March 18, 2021
- 31. Hayes, Inc. Health Technology Assessment Dec 30, 2021 Intraoperative Neuromonitoring for Lumbar Spinal Fusion and Discectomy. Annual Review: March 7, 2023. Accessed July 12, 2023. Available at: https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/dir.neuromonitor4892
- Henry BM, Graves MJ, Vikse J, et al. The current state of intermittent intraoperative neural monitoring for prevention of recurrent laryngeal nerve injury during thyroidectomy: a PRISMA-compliant systematic review of overlapping meta-analyses. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2017 Jun;402(4):663-673.
- 33. Ibrahim, T., et al. (2017). "The Impact of Neurophysiological Intraoperative Monitoring during Spinal Cord and Spine Surgery: A Critical Analysis of 121 Cases." Cureus 9(11): e1861.
- 34. Kelleher MO, Tan G, Sarjeant R, Fehlings MG. Predictive value of intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring during cervical spine surgery: a prospective analysis of 1055 consecutive patients. J Neurosurg Spine. 2008 Mar;8(3):215-21.
- 35. Khan MH, Smith PN, Balzer JR, Crammond D, Welch WC, Gerszten P, Sclabassi RJ, Kang JD, Donaldson WF. Intraoperative somatosensory evoked potential monitoring during cervical spine corpectomy surgery: experience with 508 cases. Spine. 2006 Feb 15;31(4):E105-13.
- 36. Krassioukov AV, Sarjeant R, Arkia H, Fehlings MG. Multimodality intraoperative monitoring during complex lumbosacral procedures: indications, techniques, and long-term follow-up review of 61 consecutive cases. J Neurosurg Spine. 2004 Oct;1(3):243-53.
- 37. Lall RR, Lall RR, Hauptman JS, et al. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery: indications, efficacy, and role of the preoperative checklist. Neurosurg Focus. 2012 Nov;33(5):E10.
- 38. Lee HJ, Kim IS, Sung JH, Lee SW, Hong JT. Significance of multimodal intraoperative monitoring for the posterior cervical spine surgery. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2016 Apr;143:9-14.
- 39. Lee HJ, Ryu KS, Hur JW, Seong JH, Cho HJ, Kim JS. Safety of Lateral Interbody Fusion Surgery without Intraoperative Monitoring. Turk Neurosurg. 2018;28(3):428-433.
- 40. Liu X, Aziz TZ, Bain PG. Intraoperative monitoring of motor symptoms using surface electromyography during stereotactic surgery for movement disorders. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2005 Jun;22(3):183-91.
- 41. Malhotra NR, Shaffrey CI. Intraoperative electrophysiological monitoring in spine surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2010 Dec 1;35(25):2167-79.
- 42. Malik R, Linos D. Intraoperative Neuromonitoring in Thyroid Surgery: A Systematic Review. World J Surg. 2016 Aug;40(8):2051-8.
- 43. Mammis A, Mogilner AY. The use of intraoperative electrophysiology for the placement of spinal cord stimulator paddle leads under general anesthesia. Neurosurgery. 2012;70(2 Suppl Operative):230-236.
- 44. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NHS). Intraoperative nerve monitoring during thyroid surgery. Interventional procedural guidance. March 2008. Accessed July 12, 2020. Available at URL address: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg255</u>
- 45. Ney JP, Kessler DP. Neurophysiological monitoring during cervical spine surgeries: Longitudinal costs and outcomes. Clin Neurophysiol. 2018 Aug 29;129(11):2245-2251.
- 46. Ney JP, van der Goes DN. Evidence-based guideline update: Intraoperative spinal monitoring with somatosensory and transcranial electrical motor evoked potentials. Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. Neurology. 2012 Jul 17;79(3):292; author replies 292-4.
- 47. Nuwer MR, Emerson RG, Galloway G, et al. Evidence-based guideline update: Intraoperative spinal monitoring with somatosensory and transcranial electrical motor evoked potentials: Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Clinical Neurophysiology Society. Neurology 2012;78;585-589.
- 48. Oya J, Burke JF, Vogel T, Tay B, Chou D, Mummaneni P. The Accuracy of Multimodality Intraoperative Neuromonitoring to Predict Postoperative Neurologic Deficits Following Cervical Laminoplasty. World Neurosurg. 2017 Oct;106:17-25.
- 49. Pardal-Refovo JL, Ochoa-Sangrador C. Bilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve injury in total thyroidectomy with or without intraoperative neuromonitoring. Systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp. 2016 Mar-Apr;67(2):66-74.

- Parker SL1, Amin AG, Farber SH, McGirt MJ, Sciubba DM, Wolinsky JP, Bydon A, Gokaslan ZL, Witham TF. Ability of electromyographic monitoring to determine the presence of malpositioned pedicle screws in the lumbosacral spine: analysis of 2450 consecutively placed screws. J Neurosurg Spine. 2011 Aug;15(2):130-5.
- Pease M, Gandhoke GS, Kaur J, Thirumala P, Balzer J, Crammond D, Okonkwo DO, Kanter AS. Predictive Value of Intraoperative Neurophysiological Monitoring During Spine Surgery: A Prospective Analysis of 4489 Consecutive Patients. Neurosurgery. 2016 Aug;63 Suppl 1:192-3.
- 52. Piasecki K, Kulik G, Pierzchala K, Pralong E, Rao PJ, Schizas C. Do intra-operative neurophysiological changes predict functional outcome following decompressive surgery for lumbar spinal stenosis? A prospective study. J Spine Surg. 2018 Mar;4(1):86-92.
- 53. Raynor BL, Lenke LG, Bridwell KH, Taylor BA, Padberg AM. Correlation between low triggered electromyographic thresholds and lumbar pedicle screw malposition: analysis of 4857 screws. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2007 Nov 15;32(24):2673-8.
- 54. Resnick DK, Anderson PA, Choudhri T, Groff M, Heary R, Holly L, Ryken T, Vresilovik E, Matz PG: Guidelines for the management of cervical degenerative disease: Electrophysiological monitoring during surgery for cervical degenerative myelopathy and radiculopathy. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine 11(2): 245-252, 2009
- 55. Resnick DK, Choudhri TF, Dailey AT, Groff MW, Khoo L, Matz PG, Mummaneni P, Watters WC 3rd, Wang J, Walters BC, Hadley MN; American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons. Guidelines for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 15: electrophysiological monitoring and lumbar fusion. J Neurosurg Spine. 2005 Jun;2(6):725-32.
- Rijs K, Klimek M, Scheltens-de Boer M, Biesheuvel K, Harhangi BS. Intraoperative Neuromonitoring in Patients with Intramedullary Spinal Cord Tumor: A Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Case Series. World Neurosurg. 2019 Jan 17. pii: S1878-8750(19)30068-3.
- 57. Sharan A, Groff MW, Dailey AT, Ghogawala Z, Resnick DK, Watters WC 3rd, Mummaneni PV, Choudhri TF, Eck JC, Wang JC, Dhall SS, Kaiser MG. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedure for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 15: Electrophysiological monitoring and lumbar fusion. J Neurosurg Spine. 2014 Jul;21(1):102-5.
- 58. Shils JL, Arle JE. Intraoperative neurophysiologic methods for spinal cord stimulator placement under general anesthesia. Neuromodulation. 2012;15(6):560-571; discussion 571-572.
- 59. Spitz S, Felbaum D, Aghdam N, Sandhu F. Delayed postoperative C5 root palsy and the use of neurophysiologic monitoring. Eur Spine J. 2015 Dec;24(12):2866-71.
- 60. Sun H, Tian W, Jiang K, Chiang F, Wang P, Huang T, Zhu J, Qin J, Liu X. Clinical guidelines on intraoperative neuromonitoring during thyroid and parathyroid surgery. Ann Transl Med. 2015 Sep;3(15):213.
- 61. Sun W, Liu J, Zhang H, et al. A meta-analysis of intraoperative neuromonitoring of recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy during thyroid reoperations. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). Nov 2017;87(5):572-580.
- 62. Sutter MA, Eggspuehler A, Grob D, Porchet F, Jeszenszky D, Dvorak J. Multimodal intraoperative monitoring (MIOM) during 409 lumbosacral surgical procedures in 409 patients. Eur Spine J. 2007 Nov;16 Suppl 2:S221-8.
- 63. Thirumala PD, Melachuri SR, Kaur J, Ninaci D, Melachuri MK, Habeych ME, Crammond DJ, Balzer JR. Diagnostic Accuracy of Somatosensory Evoked Potentials in Evaluating New Neurological Deficits After Posterior Cervical Fusions. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2017 Apr 1;42(7):490-496.
- 64. Traynelis VC, Abode-Iyamah KO, Leick KM, Bender SM, Greenlee JD. Cervical decompression and reconstruction without intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring. J Neurosurg Spine. 2012 Feb;16(2):107-13.
- 65. Uribe JS, Isaacs RE, Youssef JA, Khajavi K, Balzer JR, Kanter AS, Küelling FA, Peterson MD; SOLAS Degenerative Study Group. Can triggered electromyography monitoring throughout retraction predictpostoperative symptomatic neuropraxia after XLIF? Results from a prospective multicenter trial. Eur Spine J. 2015 Apr;24 Suppl 3:378-85.
- 66. Wang S, Tian Y, Lin X, et al. Comparison of intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring outcomes between cervical and thoracic spine surgery. Eur Spine J. 2017 Sep;26(9):2404-2409.
- 67. Wilson, Bayard, et al. "Lateral mass screw stimulation thresholds in posterior cervical instrumentation surgery: a predictor of medial deviation." Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine 26.3 (2017): 346-352.
- 68. Yang S, Zhou L, Lu Z, Ma B, Ji Q, Wang Y. Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis of Intraoperative Neuromonitoring During Thyroidectomy Int J Surg. 2017 Mar;39:104-113. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.01.086. Epub 2017 Jan 25.
- 69. Yaylali I, Ju H, Yoo J, Ching A, Hart R. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in anterior lumbar interbody fusion surgery. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2014 Aug;31(4):352-5.

Disclaimer:

This document is for informational purposes only and should not be relied on in the diagnosis and care of individual patients. Medical and Coding/Reimbursement policies do not constitute medical advice, plan preauthorization, certification, an explanation of benefits, or a contract. Members should consult with appropriate health care providers to obtain needed medical advice, care, and treatment. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the member's individual benefit plan that is in effect at the time services are rendered.

The codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this policy are included for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

U of U Health Plans makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information cited or relied upon in this policy. U of U Health Plans updates its Coverage Policies regularly, and reserves the right to amend these policies and give notice in accordance with State and Federal requirements.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from U of U Health Plans.

"University of Utah Health Plans" and its accompanying logo, and its accompanying marks are protected and registered trademarks of the provider of this Service and or University of Utah Health. Also, the content of this Service is proprietary and is protected by copyright. You may access the copyrighted content of this Service only for purposes set forth in these Conditions of Use.

© CPT Only – American Medical Association