



Speech Generating Devices

Policy MP-067

Origination Date: 07/27/2015

Reviewed/Revised Date: 07/17/2024

Next Review Date: 07/17/2025

Current Effective Date: 07/17/2024

Disclaimer:

- 1. Policies are subject to change in accordance with State and Federal notice requirements.
- 2. Policies outline coverage determinations for U of U Health Plans Commercial, CHIP and Healthy U (Medicaid) plans. Refer to the "Policy" section for more information.
- 3. Services requiring prior-authorization may not be covered, if prior-authorization is not obtained.
- 4. This Medical Policy does not guarantee coverage or payment of the service. The service must be a benefit in the member's plan and the member must be eligible for coverage at the time of service. Additional payment guidelines may be applied that are not included in this policy.

Description:

Speech is the articulation, voice and fluency of sounds and words. Language is the ability to understand and express our thoughts, wants, feelings, and needs. Language includes, but is not limited to, the ability to converse, comprehend, read, and write." There are several different types of communication disorders (National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders [NIDCD]) which can create communication issues impacting an individual's abilities in speech, language, reading, and/or writing. These disorders can be congenital or acquired.

Acquired Disabilities: Including, but not limited to cerebrovascular accidents (i.e., stroke); traumatic or acquired brain injuries; neurodegenerative diseases, such as ALS, supranuclear palsy, primary progressive aphasia, and apraxia; disability following surgeries (e.g., glossectomy, laryngectomy); and temporary/intermittent conditions for patients in critical care settings (e.g., intubated patients).

Congenital Disabilities: Including, but not limited to: Cerebral Palsy, Developmental Apraxia of Speech, Developmental Disability, Genetic Disorders, and Intellectual Disability.

Some examples of communication disorders include, but are not limited to:

Aphasia: This disorder results from damage to portions of the brain responsible for language and can impair the ability to understand and/or formulate language. This disorder may result in total or partial loss of the ability to speak, understand, read, and/or write, and is usually caused by stroke, brain disease, or injury.

Apraxia: This is a motor speech disorder that prevents someone from being able to say what they want correctly and consistently. Apraxia stems from a deficit in the planning and programming of the sequence of movements for speech and occurs despite the fact that the same muscles move normally when speech is not involved. It can be acquired or congenital. Acquired apraxia can result from neurological etiology, including, but not limited to stroke, tumor or traumatic brain injury. Childhood Apraxia of Speech (CAS) is a neurological pediatric speech sound disorder that occurs in preschool and school-age children aged 3-21.

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): This is a neurodevelopmental disorder that can include impairments in social communication, language, related cognitive skills, and cause behavioral and emotional challenges.

Dysarthria: This motor speech disorder involves the abnormal articulation of sounds or phonemes and is caused by disturbances in the strength or coordination of the muscles of the speech mechanism as a result of damage to the brain or nerves. Anarthria is a severe form of dysarthria which creates total loss of the ability to articulate speech.

Speech Generating Devices (SGD) are one form of Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC). They help individuals who cannot rely on verbal communication to express their thoughts, wants, and needs, thus allowing for more independent communication. These devices are defined as durable medical equipment that provides an individual who has a severe speech impairment with the ability to meet his or her functional, speaking needs. Some speech-generating devices are electronic augmentative and alternative communication systems used to supplement or replace speech or writing for individuals with severe speech impairments, enabling them to verbally communicate their needs.

Speech generating devices provide multiple methods of message formulation and are used therapeutically to establish, develop, or maintain the ability to communicate functional needs. These devices or aids are electronic, computer-based and can generate synthesized (computer-generated) and/or digitized (natural human) speech output. Speech may be generated using digitized audible/verbal speech output, prerecorded messages, synthesized audible/verbal speech output which requires message formulation by spelling and device access by physical contact with the device-direct selection techniques, synthesized audible/verbal speech output which permits multiple methods of message formation and multiple methods of device access, or software that allows a computer or other electronic device to generate speech.

Policy Statement and Criteria

1. Commercial Plans/CHIP

U of U Health Plans covers speech generating devices and augmentative communication equipment in *limited circumstances*.

Criteria for Coverage of Speech Generating Devices (A-G must be met)

A. Prior to the delivery of the device, the member has had a formal evaluation of their cognitive and communication abilities by a speech-language pathologist. The formal, written evaluation must include, at a minimum, the following elements:

- i. Current communication impairment, including the type, severity, language skills, cognitive ability, and anticipated course of the impairment;
- ii. An assessment of whether the member's daily communication needs could be met using other natural modes of communication;
- iii. A description of the functional communication goals expected to be achieved and treatment options;
- iv. Rationale for selection of a specific device and any accessories;
- v. Demonstration that the patient possesses a treatment plan that includes a training schedule for the selected device;
- vi. The cognitive and physical abilities to effectively use the selected device and any accessories to communicate;
- vii. For a subsequent upgrade to a previously issued device, information regarding the functional benefit to the patient of the upgrade compared to the initially provided device.
- B. The member's medical condition is one resulting in a severe expressive speech impairment;
- C. Written statement is provided indicating why alternative communication methods are insufficient to meet member's need;
- D. Other forms of treatment have been considered and ruled out;
- E. The device is demonstrated to be necessary for patient to perform Activities of Daily Living (ADL's);
- F. A copy of the speech-language pathologist's written evaluation and recommendation have been signed by the member's treating physician and provided for review;
- G. The speech-language pathologist performing the evaluation is not an employee of or has a financial relationship with the supplier of the device.

U of U Health Plans does NOT cover the following medical devices and/or service as they are considered not medically necessary:

- A. Devices not specifically dedicated as speech devices (e.g., personal laptop or desktop computers, personal digital assistants (PDA's), word processing or accounting packages and programs)*;
- B. Communications boards;

- C. Multilingual modules;
- D. Use of a speech generating device in a member without a documented severe speech impairment;
- E. Internet connection or other phone services.
- * Benefit exception for these devices will be considered in the following circumstances (ALL MUST BE MET):
 - i. Member has unique needs met by device not met by other devices; and
 - ii. Device meets medical necessity standard; and
 - iii. Requested Device is a lower cost alternative to other covered devices; and
 - iv. Device is only to be used for speech generating.

2. Medicaid Plans

Coverage is determined by the State of Utah Medicaid program; if Utah State Medicaid has no published coverage position and InterQual criteria are not available, the U of U Health Plans Commercial criteria will apply. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, please visit their website at: https://medicaid.utah.gov/utah-medicaid-official-publications/ or the https://medicaid.utah.gov/utah-medicaid-official-publications/ or the https://medicaid.utah.gov/utah-medicaid-official-publications/ or the Utah Medicaid code Look-Up tool

CPT/HCPCS codes covered by Utah State Medicaid may still require further evaluation to determine medical necessity for coverage.

Clinical Rationale

In 2016, the National Joint Committee for the Communication Needs of People with Severe Disabilities (NJC) reviewed literature regarding practices for people with severe disabilities in order to update guidance provided in documents originally published in 1992. (Brady, et al.) A revised version of the Communication Bill of Rights, changes in laws, definitions, and policies that affect communication attainments by persons with severe disabilities were presented, along with guidance regarding assessment and intervention practices. The review found that in the past two decades there has been tremendous change in the technologies that we harness to support the communication of individuals with significant disabilities. Technological advances have enabled new options for augmentative and alternative communication system design and features as well as device control (for example, eye gaze, brain waves, and other methods requiring minimal voluntary movement). The NJC asserts that all people have the right to communicate and it is their purpose to synthesize and disseminate information that informs policy, practice, and research. However, the authors acknowledged that more research is needed to actualize the principles incorporated in this review. As the principles are not static concepts and will continue to evolve based on emerging research findings and their translation into policy and practice.

In a 2010 systematic review (Rispoli et al.) assessed communication interventions that involved the use of speech generated devices (SGD) for individuals with developmental disabilities. Searches of electronic

databases, journals and reference lists identified 35 studies meeting the inclusion criteria. These studies were evaluated in terms of participants, SGD function, SGD characteristics, intervention procedures, intervention results and certainty of evidence. Across these studies, intervention was provided to a total of 86 subjects aged 1 to 42 years. Communication skills targeted included requesting, social or conversational skills, labeling items and receptive language. Intervention approaches were categorized as using Discrete Trial Training, Milieu teaching or a combined instructional approach. Positive outcomes were reported in 86% of the studies with 54% of studies categorized as providing conclusive evidence. The authors concluded that this literature base looks promising due to the large number of conclusive studies and the replication of intervention approaches.

A 2017 meta-analysis (Ganz et al.) reviewed studies on individuals with intellectual/developmental disabilities who had complex communication needs. The review identified 24 studies on high-technology AAC devices that had a total of 56 participants. Studies differed in the interventions they evaluated and the outcome variables they measured. All of the interventions provided statistically significant benefits, compared with baseline, and the overall pooled effect size was 0.70 (95% confidence interval [CI]; 0.63 to 0.77). The authors concluded that further studies are needed before recommendations related to clinical decision making are possible.

Further, another 2017 systematic review (Russo et al.) evaluated studies on high-technology AAC devices for adults with post-stroke aphasia. The review included 30 publications and included a total of 250 individuals with acquired non-progressive post-stroke aphasia. Study sample sizes ranged from 1 to 10. AAC included computer software (n=20), dedicated AAC devices (n=6) and software applications for tablets and/or smartphones (n=4). A total of 16 studies showed positive outcomes, 11 studies reported mixed outcomes and 3 studies did not demonstrate improvement in communication. Study findings were not pooled due to heterogeneity of interventions and outcome measures. Conclusions from the authors were that taken together with positive results in heterogeneous studies, high-technology devices represent a compensatory strategy to enhance communicative skills in individuals with post-stroke aphasia. However, further studies are needed with improvements in the design of studies and reporting of results, which may lead to better interpretation of the already existing scientific results from aphasia management.

In 2018, a community-based, piloted-randomized controlled study (Gilroy et al.) evaluated the effects of the Picture Exchange Communication System (PECS) to a teaching sequence using a high-tech SGD to teach social communication behaviors. The two approaches were compared to evaluate the effectiveness of the newer, more high-tech intervention using technology to improve social and communicative behavior of children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). A total of 35 school-age children were randomized to either a high-tech (SGD device) or low-tech (PECS cards) form of AAC. Subjects received 4 months of communication training delivered in their classrooms, and the primary outcome measures of the trial were several functional communication skills emphasized in the PECS teaching sequence. Results indicated that both high-tech and low-tech AAC approaches resulted in significant improvements in communication, and that these improvements did not differ significantly between the two approaches. These findings supported the use of high-tech AAC, and highlighted the need for evidence-based guidelines for its use as well as evaluation with individuals with various impairments and disabilities. In conclusion, the authors found that these findings indicated that both "high-tech" and "low-tech" interventions were effective for improving behavior and that there was not a significant difference between the two approaches.

Finally, a 2018 study (Thiemann-Bourque et al.) reviewed the effects of incorporating a peer-mediated approach into a SGD intervention on communication of 45 non-verbal and minimally verbal preschoolers with ASD and 95 peers without disabilities. The SGD was an iPad 2 (Apple) with voice output app. Effects

were evaluated using a multi-variate RCT design with repeated measures for 4 cohorts across baseline, intervention, generalization, and maintenance phases. Children were randomly assigned to an experimental treatment that trained peers on use of the SGD or a business-as-usual comparison condition with untrained peers. Communication outcomes were measured for both children with ASD and peers. Children receiving the treatment demonstrated significant increases in rates of communication and more balanced responses and initiations (a measure of reciprocity) than children in the comparison group. They were able to generalize improvements and maintain communication gains. Treatment fidelity was high for school staff and peer implementation. In conclusion, the findings of this study supported positive effects on communication of teaching young children with ASD and peers without disabilities to use the same SGD system in typical preschool activities. The authors went on to further state that SGD interventions that utilize peer-mediated approaches may improve core deficits in communication and reciprocity and allow for greater classroom social participation and interactions with peers. However, further studies are needed that focuses on support and training for early education service providers working with this population in inclusive settings; and given the recent advances in the use of iPads as SGDs in classrooms and in clinical practice without evidence of effectiveness, it will be essential for future research to incorporate what is already known as effective SGD and peer-mediated instructional strategies.

Applicable Coding

CPT (indes

92605	Evaluation for prescription of non-speech-generating augmentative and alternative communication device, face-to-face with the patient; first hour
92618	Evaluation for prescription of non-speech-generating augmentative and alternative communication device, face-to-face with the patient; each additional 30 minutes (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
92606	Therapeutic service(s) for the use of non-speech-generating device, including programming and modification
92607	Evaluation for prescription for speech-generating augmentative and alternative communication device, face-to-face with the patient; first hour
92608	Evaluation for prescription for speech-generating augmentative and alternative communication device, face-to-face with the patient; each additional 30 minutes (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
92609	Therapeutic services for the use of speech-generating device, including programming and modification

HCPCS Codes

E1902	Communication board, non-electronic augmentative or alternative communication device
E2500	Speech generating device, digitized speech, using prerecorded messages, less than or equal to eight minutes recording time
E2502	Speech generating device, digitized speech, using prerecorded messages, greater than eight minutes but less than or equal to 20 minutes recording time

E2504	Speech generating device, digitized speech, using prerecorded messages, greater than 20 minutes but less than or equal to 40 minutes recording time
E2506	Speech generating device, digitized speech, using prerecorded messages, greater than 40 minutes recording time
E2508	Speech generating device, synthesized speech, requiring message formulation by spelling and access by physical contact with the device
E2510	Speech generating device, synthesized speech, permitting multiple methods of message formulation and multiple methods of device access
E2512	Accessory for speech generating device, mounting system
E2599	Accessory for speech generating device, not otherwise classified
Not Covered	
E2511	Speech generating software program, for personal computer or personal digital assistant
V5336	Repair/modification of augmentative communicative system or device (excludes adaptive hearing aid)

References:

- 1. AAC: Augmentative & Alternative Communication, 35(2), 69-79.
- 2. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). Augmentative and Alternative Communication. Accessed: April 13, 2021. Available at: www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Professional-Issues/Augmentative-and-Alternative-Communication/
- 3. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). Augmentative and Alternative Communication. Accessed: April 17, 2023. Available at: https://www.asha.org/practice-portal/professional-issues/augmentative-and-alternative-communication/#collapse_1
- 4. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). Autism Spectrum Disorder. Accessed: April 17, 2023. Available at: https://www.asha.org/practice-portal/clinical-topics/autism/
- 5. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). Childhood Apraxia of Speech. Accessed: April 17, 2023. Available at: https://www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Clinical-Topics/Childhood-Apraxia-of-Speech/
- 6. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). Topics and Issues. Accessed: April 14, 2021. Available at: https://www.asha.org/PRPSpecificTopic.aspx?folderid=8589942773§ion=Key Issues
- 7. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA). What Is Speech? What Is Language? Accessed: April 17, 2023. Available at: https://www.asha.org/public/speech/development/Speech-and-Language/.
- 8. Barker, R. M., Akaba, S., Brady, N. C., & Thiemann-Bourque, K. (2013). Support for AAC use in preschool, and growth in language skills, for young children with developmental disabilities. Augmentative and alternative communication (Baltimore, Md.: 1985), 29(4), 334–346. https://doi.org/10.3109/07434618.2013.848933
- 9. Brady, N. C., et al. (2016). "Communication Services and Supports for Individuals with Severe Disabilities: Guidance for Assessment and Intervention." Am J Intellect Dev Disabil 121(2): 121-138.
- 10. Butt AK, Zubair R, Rathore FA. The role of Augmentative and Alternative Communication in Speech and Language Therapy: A mini review. J Pak Med Assoc. 2022 Mar;72(3):581-584. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.22-023. PMID: 35320253.
- 11. Carter J, MA, Musher K, MA. UpToDate* (2022) "Evaluation and treatment of speech and language disorders in children" Literature current through: April 2022. Last Updated: April 12, 2021. Available at: https://www.uptodate.com/contents/evaluation-and-treatment-of-speech-and-language-disorders-in-children
- 12. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). (July 2015) 50.1 of Chapter 1, Part 1 of the Medicare National Coverage Determinations Manual "Speech Generating Devices" Accessed April 14th, 2021. Available at: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/medicare-coverage-document-details.aspx?MCDId=26
- 13. Chavers, T. N., Schlosser, R. W., et al. (2022). Effects of Interventions Involving Speech Output Technologies on Communication Outcomes for Individuals With Developmental Disabilities: A Scoping Review. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 31(5), 2248-2267.
- 14. Ciarmoli, D., & Stasolla, F. (2023). The Use of Alternative Augmentative Communication in Children and Adolescents With Neurodevelopmental Disorders: A Critical Review. Current Developmental Disorders Reports, 10(1), 14-19.

- 15. Drager K, Reichle J, Pinkoski C. Synthesized Speech Output and Children: A scoping review. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology 2010:259-273. doi:10.1044/1058-0360(2010/09-0024)
- 16. Ganz JB, Mason RA, Goodwyn FD, et al. Interaction of participant characteristics and type of AAC with individuals with ASD: a meta-analysis. Am J Intellect Dev Disabil. 2014; 119(6):516-535.
- 17. Gilroy SP, Leader G, McCleery JP. A pilot community-based randomized comparison of speech generating devices and the picture exchange communication system for children diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. Autism Res. 2018;11(12):1701-1711.
- 18. lacono, T., Trembath, D., & Erickson, S. (2016). The role of augmentative and alternative communication for children with autism: current status and future trends. Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment, 12, 2349–2361. https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S95967
- 19. Lorah E, Parnell A, Whitby P, et al. A Systematic Review of Tablet Computers and Portable Media Players as Speech Generating Devices for Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder. J of Autism Dev Disorder 2015;45(12):3792-804
- 20. National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD). National Institutes of Health (NIH). Voice, Speech, and Language. Last Updated Date: Monday, October 19, 2015. Accessed: April 13, 2021. Available at: https://www.nidcd.nih.gov/health/voice-speech-and-language
- 21. O'Donoghue, M., O'Dea, A., et al. (2021). Systematic Review of Peer-Mediated Intervention for Children With Autism Who Are Minimally Verbal. Review Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 8, 51-66.
- 22. O'Neill, T., Light, J., et al. (2018). Effects of Interventions That Include Aided Augmentative and Alternative Communication Input on the Communication of Individuals With Complex Communication Needs: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1044/2018_JSLHR-L-17-0132.
- 23. Ripat J, Verdonck M, Gacek C, McNicol S. A qualitative metasynthesis of the meaning of speech generating devices for people with complex communication needs. Augment Altern Commun. 2018 Sep 19:1-11.
- 24. Ripat, J., Verdonck, M., et al. (2019). A Qualitative Metasynthesis of the Meaning of Speech-Generating Devices for People With Complex Communication Needs.
- 25. Rispoli MJ, Franco JH, van der Meer L, et al. The use of speech generating devices in communication interventions for individuals with developmental disabilities: A review of the literature. Dev Neurorehabil. 2010;13(4):276-293.
- 26. Russo MJ, Prodan V, Meda NN, et al. High-technology augmentive communication for adults with post-stroke aphasia: a systematic review. Expert Rev Med Dev 2017; 14:355-370.
- 27. Schlosser R, Wendt O. Effects of Augmentative and Alternative Communication Intervention on Speech Production in children with autism: A systematic review. American Journal of Speech- Language Pathology 2008;17:212-230. doi:10.1044/1058-0360(2008/021)
- 28. Thiemann-Bourque K, Feldmiller S, Hoffman L, Johner S. Incorporating a peer-mediated approach into speech-generating device intervention: Effects on communication of preschoolers with autism spectrum disorder. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2018;61(8):2045-2061.
- 29. Tullis CA, Marya V, Alice Shillingsburg M. Enhancing Instruction via Instructive Feedback for a Child With Autism Using a Speech-Generating Device. Anal Verbal Behav. 2018;35(1):103-112. Published 2018 Jun 25. doi:10.1007/s40616-018-0096-z

Disclaimer:

This document is for informational purposes only and should not be relied on in the diagnosis and care of individual patients. Medical and Coding/Reimbursement policies do not constitute medical advice, plan preauthorization, certification, an explanation of benefits, or a contract. Members should consult with appropriate health care providers to obtain needed medical advice, care, and treatment. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the member's individual benefit plan that is in effect at the time services are rendered.

The codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this policy are included for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

U of U Health Plans makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information cited or relied upon in this policy. U of U Health Plans updates its Coverage Policies regularly, and reserves the right to amend these policies and give notice in accordance with State and Federal requirements.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from U of U Health Plans.

"University of Utah Health Plans" and its accompanying logo, and its accompanying marks are protected and registered trademarks of the provider of this Service and or University of Utah Health. Also, the content of this Service is proprietary and is protected by copyright. You may access the copyrighted content of this Service only for purposes set forth in these Conditions of Use.

© CPT Only – American Medical Association