

## Myoelectric Prosthetic Components for the Upper Limb

**Policy** REIMB-027

**Origination Date:** 02/26/2025

**Reviewed/Revised Date:** 03/13/2025

**Next Review Date:** 03/13/2026

**Current Effective Date:** 05/13/2025

### Disclaimer:

1. Policies are subject to change in accordance with State and Federal notice requirements.
2. Policies outline coverage determinations for U of U Health Plans Commercial, CHIP and Healthy U (Medicaid) plans. Refer to the "Policy" section for more information.
3. Services requiring prior-authorization may not be covered, if prior-authorization is not obtained.
4. **This Medical Policy does not guarantee coverage or payment of the service. The service must be a benefit in the member's plan and the member must be eligible for coverage at the time of service. Additional payment guidelines may be applied that are not included in this policy.**
5. Provisions and terms of the provider contract may supersede this policy.

### Description:

Myoelectric prosthetic components are electrically powered prostheses designed to restore function to individuals with upper limb loss or deficiency. Compared to traditional body-powered prosthetic devices, myoelectric devices utilize muscle signals from the residual limb to control the movement of the prosthesis. Myoelectric devices allow for more natural and intuitive movement, reducing the physical strain on the residual limb and the contralateral upper limb. Studies have demonstrated that individuals meeting the prosthesis selection criteria experience significant benefits in daily activities, social participation, and independence; therefore increasing the quality of life.

Myoelectric prostheses require cognitive, physiological, and functional capabilities to ensure successful use.

Despite these advantages, proper patient selection is essential, ensuring that the prosthetic device is both necessary and beneficial for their daily activities. Research supports coverage for patients who demonstrate medical necessity and functional benefit from the device

### Policy Statement and Criteria

#### 1. Commercial Plans/CHIP

**U of U Health Plans covers myoelectric prosthetic components for the upper limb in limited circumstances when ALL of the following criteria are met:**

- A. The patient must have an amputation or congenital absence of the upper limb at the wrist or above.
- B. Standard body-powered prosthetic devices are deemed unsuitable due to functional limitations or inability to operate them effectively.
- C. The patient must demonstrate sufficient physiological, neurological, and cognitive ability to operate the myoelectric prosthesis effectively.
- D. The patient must retain sufficient microvolt threshold in the residual limb to control the myoelectric prosthesis.
- E. The patient must be free of comorbidities (e.g., significant contractures) that would interfere with the use of the myoelectric prosthesis.
- F. A documented trial period with the device (when applicable) must demonstrate functional improvement and benefit.

**U of U Health Plans does NOT cover myoelectric prosthetic components in the following situations:**

- A. The patient does not meet the above functional and medical necessity criteria.
- B. Body-powered prosthetic devices are determined to be sufficient for the patient's functional needs.
- C. Use of the prosthesis is solely for cosmetic purposes.
- D. The prosthesis is being requested as a secondary or duplicate device (except in cases where a backup device is deemed medically necessary).
- E. Multigrip prosthetic devices.
- F. LUKE arm® (sensor and myoelectric components).

## **2. Medicaid Plans**

**Coverage is determined by the State of Utah Medicaid program; if Utah State Medicaid has no published coverage position and InterQual criteria are not available, the U of U Health Plans Commercial criteria will apply. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, please visit their website at: <https://medicaid.utah.gov/utah-medicaid-official-publications/> or the [Utah Medicaid code Look-Up tool](#)**

**CPT/HCPCS codes covered by Utah State Medicaid may still require further evaluation to determine medical necessity for coverage.**

## **Clinical Rationale**

The literature on myoelectric upper-limb prostheses mainly focuses on patient acceptance and rejection, with limited information on function and functional status.

Touillett (2023) conducted a randomized, controlled, cross-over trial to evaluate shoulder abduction and manual dexterity in transradial amputees (N = 8) fitted with two myoelectric prosthetic hooks, the Greifer and the Axon-Hook. It included comparisons with the non-affected (NA) side. Significantly higher shoulder abduction was noted with the Greifer ( $60.9 \pm 20.3$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) compared to the Axon-Hook ( $39.8 \pm 16.9$ ) and the NA side ( $37.6 \pm 19.4$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). There was no difference between devices and the NA side in the proportion of time spent with shoulder abduction  $> 60$ . A significant negative correlation was found between shoulder abduction and wrist position with the Axon-Hook only ( $r = -0.86$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ). There was no significant difference in manual dexterity and satisfaction between the two devices.

In comparative studies, subjects acted as their own control, using both myoelectric and body-powered prostheses in randomized order. Two trials involving 196 children using both types of prostheses for three months each found no clinically relevant differences.

A 2015 systematic review (SR) by Carey, analyzing 31 studies, found conflicting evidence regarding functional performance between myoelectric and body-powered prostheses, concluding that there is insufficient evidence to show a significant advantage of one system over the other.

A 2007 SR by Biddis, which assessed upper limb prosthesis acceptance and abandonment over 25 years, reported mean rejection rates of 39% for passive, 26% for body-powered, and 23% for myoelectric prostheses. Body-powered hooks were generally acceptable, but body-powered hands had high rejection rates (80%-87%) due to issues such as slowness, awkward use, maintenance, weight, grip strength, and energy required. Rejection rates for myoelectric prostheses increased with longer follow-up, with no change in rejection rates over 25 years, limited by sampling bias and poor study quality.

Small non-randomized case series and surveys found limited evidence on whether myoelectric prostheses improve function and health-related quality of life. Myoelectric components may improve range of motion to some extent, have similar capability for light work, but reduced performance under heavy working conditions. Acceptance rates for myoelectric and body-powered prostheses were similar, with self-selected use depending on daily activities. Appearance was frequently cited as an advantage of myoelectric prostheses.

Hayes conducted a health Technology assessment on the LUKE Arm (Mobius Bionics LLC) for Upper Extremity Amputation in 2021 (latest review Dec 2024). They found insufficient published evidence to assess the safety and/or impact on health outcomes or patient management (D2). In their annual review in December 2024, there was no change in their rating and the authors concluded that "A very low quality body of evidence suggests that the LUKE arm (referred to as DEKA arm in all eligible studies) appears safe and may allow some patients to perform certain, but not all, activities of daily living (ADLs) with less difficulty than their existing upper extremity prosthesis. However, the very low quality body of evidence is insufficient to draw conclusions regarding the efficacy and safety of the LUKE arm. The limited evidence does not suggest consistent improvement on measures of function or performance with the LUKE arm compared with existing prostheses or between control systems, and lacks adequate follow-up to compensate for the potential patient learning curve associated with the new prosthesis. Additionally, features of the LUKE arm, including weight, appearance, and need for frequent repair, may deter some patients from its use. Better quality studies with larger sample sizes that directly compare the LUKE arm with an existing prosthesis over an extended period are needed".

## **Applicable Coding**

### **CPT Codes**

No applicable codes

## HCPCS Codes

- L6050** Wrist disarticulation, molded socket, flexible elbow hinges, triceps pad
- L6895** Addition to upper extremity prosthesis, glove for terminal device, any material, custom fabricated
- L6925** Wrist disarticulation, external power, self-suspended inner socket, removable forearm shell, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, 2 batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L6935** Below elbow, external power, self-suspended inner socket, removable forearm shell, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L6945** Elbow disarticulation, external power, molded inner socket, removable humeral shell, outside locking hinges, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L6955** Above elbow, external power, molded inner socket, removable humeral shell, internal locking elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L6965** Shoulder disarticulation, external power, molded inner socket, removable shoulder shell, shoulder bulkhead, humeral section, mechanical elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L6975** Interscapular-thoracic, external power, molded inner socket, removable shoulder shell, shoulder bulkhead, humeral section, mechanical elbow, forearm, Otto Bock or equal electrodes, cables, two batteries and one charger, myoelectronic control of terminal device
- L7007** Electric hand, switch or myoelectric controlled, adult
- L7008** Electric hand, switch or myoelectric, controlled, pediatric
- L7009** Electric hook, switch or myoelectric controlled, adult
- L7045** Electric hook, switch or myoelectric controlled, pediatric
- L7180** Electronic elbow, microprocessor sequential control of elbow and terminal device
- L7181** Electronic elbow, microprocessor simultaneous control of elbow and terminal device
- L7190** Electronic elbow, adolescent, Variety Village or equal, myoelectronically controlled
- L7091** Electronic elbow, child, Variety Village or equal, myoelectronically controlled
- L7259** Electronic wrist rotator, any type

## ICD-10 Codes

<b>Q71.30</b>	Congenital absence of unspecified hand and finger
<b>Z89.011</b>	Acquired absence of right thumb
<b>Z89.012</b>	Acquired absence of left thumb
<b>Z89.111</b>	Acquired absence of right hand
<b>Z89.112</b>	Acquired absence of left hand

## Not Covered - Investigational

<b>L6026</b>	Transcarpal/metacarpal or partial hand disarticulation prosthesis, external power, self-suspended, inner socket with removable forearm section, electrodes and cables, two batteries, charger, myoelectric control of terminal device, excludes terminal device(s) ( <b>Prosthetic whole hand attachment with mechanical fingers (that uses full or partial myoelectric power)</b> )
<b>L6880</b>	Electric hand, switch or myoelectric controlled, independently articulating digits, any grasp pattern or combination of grasp patterns, includes motor(s) ( <b>Myoelectric partial hand prosthesis [e.g., i-limb digits, ProDigits, i-Digits, Vincent partial hand, Vincent finger, and others]</b> )
<b>L7449</b>	Powered upper extremity range of motion assist device, elbow, wrist, hand with single or double upright(s), includes microprocessor, sensors, all components and accessories, custom fabricated ( <b>Advanced upper-limb prosthetic components with both sensor and myoelectric controls [e.g., the LUKE arm]</b> )
<b>L7600</b>	Prosthetic donning sleeve, any material, each
<b>L8701</b>	Powered upper extremity range of motion assist device, elbow, wrist, hand with single or double upright(s), includes microprocessor, sensors, all components and accessories, custom fabricated ( <b>Myoelectric controlled upper limb orthoses [e.g., MyoPro]</b> )
<b>L8702</b>	Powered upper extremity range of motion assist device, elbow, wrist, hand, finger, single or double upright(s), includes microprocessor, sensors, all components and accessories, custom fabricated ( <b>Myoelectric controlled upper limb orthoses [e.g., MyoPro]</b> )

## **References:**

1. Biddiss EA, Chau TT. Upper limb prosthesis use and abandonment: a survey of the last 25 years. *Prosthet Orthot Int.* 2007;31(3):236-57. PMID: 17979010
2. Carey SL, Lura DJ, Highsmith MJ. Differences in myoelectric and body-powered upper-limb prostheses: Systematic literature review. *J Rehabil Res Dev.* 2015;52(3):247-62. PMID: 26230500
3. Edelstein JE, Berger N. Performance comparison among children fitted with myoelectric and body-powered hands. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1993;74(4):376-80. PMID: 8466418
4. Egermann M, Kasten P, Thomsen M. Myoelectric hand prostheses in very young children. *Int Orthop.* 2009;33(4):1101 PMID: 18636257
5. Hayes, Inc., Health Technology Assessment. LUKE Arm (Mobius Bionics LLC) for Upper Extremity Amputation. Lansdale PA: Hayes, Inc., November 2021; updated October 2023. Annual Review December 2024. Accessed: February 11, 2025. Available at: <https://evidence.hayesinc.com/report/htb.lukearm4333>

6. James MA, Bagley AM, Brasington K, et al. Impact of prostheses on function and quality of life for children with unilateral congenital below-the-elbow deficiency. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88(11):2356-65. PMID: 17079391
7. Kruger LM, Fishman S. Myoelectric and body-powered prostheses. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1993;13(1):68-75. PMID: 8416358
8. Silcox DH, 3rd, Rooks MD, Vogel RR, et al. Myoelectric prostheses. A long-term follow-up and a study of the use of alternate prostheses. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1993;75(12):1781-9. PMID: 8258548
9. Touillet A, Billon-Grumillier C, Pierret J, et al. Comparison of compensatory shoulder movements, functionality and satisfaction in transradial amputees fitted with two prosthetic myoelectric hooks. *PloS one.* 2023;18(2):e0272855. PMID: 36730223

**Disclaimer:**

This document is for informational purposes only and should not be relied on in the diagnosis and care of individual patients. Medical and Coding/Reimbursement policies do not constitute medical advice, plan preauthorization, certification, an explanation of benefits, or a contract. Members should consult with appropriate health care providers to obtain needed medical advice, care, and treatment. Benefits and eligibility are determined before medical guidelines and payment guidelines are applied. Benefits are determined by the member's individual benefit plan that is in effect at the time services are rendered.

The codes for treatments and procedures applicable to this policy are included for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Provisions and terms of the provider contract may supersede this policy. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

U of U Health Plans makes no representations and accepts no liability with respect to the content of any external information cited or relied upon in this policy. U of U Health Plans updates its Coverage Policies regularly, and reserves the right to amend these policies and give notice in accordance with State and Federal requirements.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from U of U Health Plans.

"University of Utah Health Plans" and its accompanying logo, and its accompanying marks are protected and registered trademarks of the provider of this Service and or University of Utah Health. Also, the content of this Service is proprietary and is protected by copyright. You may access the copyrighted content of this Service only for purposes set forth in these Conditions of Use.

© CPT Only – American Medical Association